

March 30, 1983

LB 587

Newell, proceed.

SENATOR NEWELL: Because I think there needs to be some questions answered about any enabling or authorizing legislation as to the Initiative 300. I am curious if this is such an onerous and unnecessary and burdensome reporting requirement, and it may well be, if there is or will be an attempt by sponsors of this bill or any others to bring in some enabling legislation or authorizing legislation to try to help comply with Initiative 300 and its gist. Senator DeCamp, maybe you could answer that question whether there will be some serious attempt to do that or what (interruption)?

SPEAKER NICHOL: Senator DeCamp, would you respond please.

SENATOR DeCAMP: I would love to. Senator Newell, you are going to see that John DeCamp is the most enthusiastic helper in implementing Initiative 300 that this state has. Initiative 300 was passed. The people have spoken and I am definitely going to make serious efforts to make sure Initiative 300 is implemented and enforced to the nth degree. For example, the portion that I believe you will discover outlaws the existence of all the trust departments of all the banks in the state that I think they are going to discover in the next couple of months, I am going to help them implement that about the time the session ends along with a lot of the other things in Initiative 300.

SENATOR NEWELL: What I was looking for was some positive help, I guess, as opposed to trying to help the Senator DeCamp office. I am not sure that the arguments have been made why this bill is necessary. They still have not been made. I will withdraw the kill motion, however, and we will see whether we can advance the bill and maybe there will be some more discussion, maybe individually or something, in a little more positive way a little later on before this issue comes up on Final Reading.

SPEAKER NICHOL: Senator Schmit, he was closing. Okay. Now we are talking about the motion to advance the bill. Senator Schmit.

SENATOR SCHMIT: Mr. President and members of the Legislature, I rise in support of the bill. It has been no secret. I oppose Initiative 300. I think there will be a great many persons who supported 300 who will suffer some embarrassment when they find the true impact of that constitutional amendment. In any case regardless of what happens in that area, this bill is no longer needed. It fits the category of much